IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH APRIL 2007 / 21ST CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 12419 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

ABDUL SALAM.M., KARAMEL VEEDU,
VADAKKUMTHALA MEKKUMURI, VADAKKUMTHALA VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.SIVARAJ

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
KOLLAM.

2. P.T.SAMKUTTY, PANDAKASHALAYIL VEEDU,
KOLLAKAMURI, VADAKKUMTHALA VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J

W.P.(C)NO.12419 of 2007

Dated this the 11th day of April, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner challenges Ext.P1 notice issued by the first respondent under Clause 4(1) of the Kerala Land Utilisation Order 1967. According to the petitioner, the said notice directs the petitioner to cultivate his land within a period of 30 days which is against the provisions of the Land Utilisation Order. However, I find that in the said notice itself, the petitioner has been given an opportunity to file his objections and in fact the petitioner has filed Ext.P3 objections. Therefore, what remains now is only to direct the first respondent to consider Ext.P3 objections and pass a final order pursuant to Ext.P1 expeditiously. Accordingly, I direct the first respondent to finalise the proceedings initiated by Ext.P1 and pass final orders after taking into account Ext.P3 objections filed by the petitioner as also after affording an opportunity of being heard to both the petitioner as well as the second respondent, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate,

within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The notice on the second respondent may be served on the person who has filed Ext.P4.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

CSS